



## HANKEL OPERATORS ON BERGMAN SPACES INDUCED BY REGULAR WEIGHTS

ERMIN WANG\* AND JIAJIA XU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, given two regular weights  $\omega, \Omega$ , we characterize these symbols  $f \in L^1_{\Omega}$  for which the induced Hankel operators  $H_f^{\Omega}$  are bounded (or compact) from weighted Bergman space  $A^p_{\omega}$  to Lebesgue space  $L^q_{\Omega}$  for all  $1 < p, q < \infty$ . Moreover, we answer a question posed by X. Lv and K. Zhu [Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory, 91(2019), 91:5] in the case  $n = 1$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be the unit disc in the complex plane. Given some non-negative integrable functions  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the space  $L^p_{\omega}$  (or  $L^p(\omega dA)$ ) consists of all Lebesgue measurable functions  $f$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  such that

$$\|f\|_{L^p_{\omega}} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p \omega(z) dA(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

where  $dA$  is the normalized area measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ . We use  $L^p$  to stand the usual  $p$ -th Lebesgue space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L^p} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\cdot|^p dA \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . Let  $H(\mathbb{D})$  denote the space of analytic functions in  $\mathbb{D}$ . The weighted Bergman space is defined as  $A^p_{\omega} = L^p_{\omega} \cap H(\mathbb{D})$ .

As mentioned in [22], a radial weight  $\omega$  belongs to the class  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$  if  $\omega \in L^1[0, 1)$  and  $\hat{\omega}(z) = \int_{|z|}^1 \omega(s) ds$  satisfies the doubling condition that  $\hat{\omega}(r) \leq K \hat{\omega}(\frac{1+r}{2})$  for all  $0 \leq r < 1$ , where  $K$  is a constant

---

Communicated by Mohammad Sal Moslehian

MSC(2020): Primary: 47B35; Secondary: 30H20.

Keywords: Bergman spaces; regular weights; Hankel operator; boundedness.

Received: 13 June 2022, Accepted: 27 August 2022.

\*Corresponding author

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.30504/JIMS.2022.342003.1067>

independent of  $r$ . Furthermore, we say  $\omega$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{R}$  of regular radial weights if  $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$  and satisfies

$$\omega(r) \simeq \frac{\int_r^1 \omega(s) ds}{1-r} \text{ for } 0 \leq r < 1.$$

Given  $\omega$  radial we can extend it to  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $\omega(z) = \omega(|z|)$ . Notice the classical weight  $\omega(r) = (1-r^2)^\alpha$  with  $\alpha > -1$  and the weights in [1] and [9] are regular. Recently, Bergman spaces  $A_\omega^p$  with  $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$  are studied in [10, 18, 20–22].

The space  $A_\omega^p$  is closed in  $L_\omega^p$ .  $A_\omega^2$  is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\omega = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} \omega(z) dA(z), \quad f, g \in A_\omega^2.$$

for any fixed  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , the mapping  $f \mapsto f(z)$  is a bounded linear functional on  $A_\omega^2$ . By the Riesz representation theorem in functional analysis, there exists a unique function  $B_z \in A_\omega^2$  such that  $f(z) = \langle f, B_z \rangle_\omega$  for all  $f \in A_\omega^2$ . The function  $B_z$  is called the Bergman kernel of  $A_\omega^2$ . The orthogonal projection  $P_\omega$  from  $L_\omega^2$  to  $A_\omega^2$  can be represented as

$$P_\omega(g)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} g(\zeta) \overline{B_z(\zeta)} \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta).$$

With this expression,  $P_\omega$  can be extended to a bounded linear operator from  $L_\omega^p$  to  $A_\omega^p$  for all  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . The Hankel operator  $H_f^\omega$  with symbol  $f$  is defined by

$$H_f^\omega(g) = (Id - P_\omega)(fg),$$

where  $Id$  is the identity operator.

The study of Hankel operators on Bergman spaces initiated from [4] where Axler characterized the boundedness and compactness of Hankel operators induced by conjugate analytic functions. Later on, Axler's result was generalized in [2, 3] to weighted Bergman spaces of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . For general symbol functions, Zhu in [23] first established the connection between size estimates of Hankel operators and the mean oscillation of the symbols in the Bergman metric. This idea was further investigated in the context of bounded symmetric domains and strongly pseudo convex domains, see [5–7, 12, 13]. In [16], based on the Hilbert space property, Pau characterized those  $f \in L_{(1-|\cdot|^2)^\beta}^2$  such that  $H_f$  is bounded on  $A_{(1-|\cdot|^2)^\beta}^2$ . On the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , restricted themselves on  $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ , Pau, Zhao and Zhu in [17] obtained the characterization on  $f \in L_{(1-|\cdot|^2)^\beta}^q$  such that  $H_f$  and  $H_{\bar{f}}$  are both bounded (or compact) from  $A_{(1-|\cdot|^2)^\alpha}^p$  to  $L_{(1-|\cdot|^2)^\beta}^q$ . Then Lv and Zhu in [14] discussed the same question in the case  $1 < q < p < \infty$  under the restriction “ $pn < q(n+1+\alpha)$ ”, Later on, for  $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ , Peláez, Perälä and Rättyä in [19] obtained the condition on  $f \in L_\nu^q$  such that both  $H_f, H_{\bar{f}}: A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\nu^q$  are bounded, where  $\nu \in \mathcal{B}_q$  being radial and  $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$ . More recently, for regular weight  $\omega$ , Hu and Lu in [10] characterized those  $f \in L_\omega^1$  such that, for given  $1 < p, q < \infty$ ,  $H_f$  is bounded (or compact) from  $A_\omega^p$  to  $L_\omega^q$ .

The purpose of this paper is to extend Hu and Lu's results to the case of different regular weights. To be precise, given  $\omega, \Omega \in \mathcal{R}$ , we characterize these symbols  $f \in L_\Omega^1$  for which the induced Hankel

operators  $H_f^\Omega$  are bounded (or compact) from  $A_\omega^p$  to  $L_\Omega^q$  for all possible  $1 < p, q < \infty$ . We summarize the main results of the paper as below:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\omega, \Omega \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ . Then for  $f \in L_\Omega^1$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (A)  $H_f^\Omega : A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q$  is bounded;
  - (B) For some (or any)  $0 < r \leq \alpha$ ,  $\check{\Omega}^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p}} G_{q,r}(f) \in L^\infty$ ;
  - (C)  $f$  admits a decomposition  $f = f_1 + f_2$ , where  $f_1 \in C^1(\mathbb{D})$  satisfies
- $$(1.1) \quad (1 - |\cdot|) \check{\Omega}^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p}} |\bar{\partial} f_1| \in L^\infty,$$

and  $f_2$  has the property that, for some (or any)  $r > 0$ ,

$$(1.2) \quad \check{\Omega}^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p}} M_r(|f_2|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \in L^\infty.$$

Moreover, for  $0 < r \leq \alpha$ ,

$$(1.3) \quad \|H_f^\Omega\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q} \simeq \left\| \check{\Omega}^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p}} G_{q,r}(f) \right\|_{L^\infty}$$

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\omega, \Omega \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ . Then for  $f \in L_\Omega^1$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (A)  $H_f^\Omega : A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q$  is compact;
- (B) For some (or any)  $0 < r \leq \alpha$ ,  $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \check{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}(z)^{-\frac{1}{p}} G_{q,r}(f)(z) = 0$ ;
- (C)  $f$  admits a decomposition  $f = f_1 + f_2$ , where  $f_1 \in C^1(\mathbb{D})$  satisfies

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} (1 - |z|) \check{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}(z)^{-\frac{1}{p}} |\bar{\partial} f_1(z)| = 0,$$

and  $f_2$  satisfies

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \check{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}(z)^{-\frac{1}{p}} M_r(|f_2|^q)(z)^{\frac{1}{q}} = 0$$

for some (or any)  $r > 0$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\omega, \Omega \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $1 < q < p < \infty$ . Then for  $f \in L_\Omega^1$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (A)  $H_f^\Omega : A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q$  is bounded;
- (B)  $H_f^\Omega : A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q$  is compact;
- (C) For some (or any)  $0 < r \leq \alpha/2$ ,  $\Omega^{\frac{1}{q}} \omega^{-\frac{1}{p}} G_{q,r}(f) \in L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}$ ;
- (D)  $f$  admits a decomposition  $f = f_1 + f_2$ , where

$$(1.4) \quad f_1 \in C^1(\mathbb{D}), \quad \Omega^{\frac{1}{q}} \omega^{-\frac{1}{p}} (1 - |\cdot|) |\bar{\partial} f_1| \in L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}},$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad \Omega^{\frac{1}{q}} \omega^{-\frac{1}{p}} M_r(|f_2|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \in L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}$$

for some (or any)  $r > 0$ . Moreover,

$$(1.6) \quad \|H_f^\Omega\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q} \simeq \left\| \Omega^{\frac{1}{q}} \omega^{-\frac{1}{p}} G_{q,r}(f) \right\|_{L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}}.$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will give some preliminaries. Section 3 is devoted to give the proofs of our main theorems. Throughout this paper, we use  $C$  to denote positive constants whose value may change from line to line, but do not depend on functions being considered. For two quantities  $A$  and  $B$ , we write  $A \lesssim B$  if there exists some  $C$  such that  $A \leq CB$ . We call  $A$  and  $B$  are equivalent, denoted by  $A \simeq B$ , if  $A \lesssim B \lesssim A$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

We begin by stating some known results which are used in the proof of the main results. Let

$$\beta(z, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + |\varphi_z(\xi)|}{1 - |\varphi_z(\xi)|}$$

be the Bergman distance of  $z, \xi$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ , where  $\varphi_z(\xi) = \frac{\xi - z}{1 - \bar{z}\xi}$ . For  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $r > 0$ , let  $D(z, r) = \{w \in \mathbb{D} : \beta(z, w) < r\}$  denote the Bergman disk with center  $z$  and radius  $r$ . Given  $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$ , write

$$\check{\omega}(z) = (1 - |z|)^2 \omega(z).$$

The following lemma exhibits some basic estimates. See [22] for more details.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose  $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$ , then*

(A) *For  $1 < p < \infty$ , there holds*

$$\|B_z\|_{A_w^p} \simeq \check{\omega}(z)^{\frac{1}{p}-1}.$$

(B) *There is some positive constant  $\alpha$  such that*

$$|B_z(\xi)| \simeq B_z(z) \simeq B_\xi(\xi) \simeq \frac{1}{\check{\omega}(z)} \simeq \frac{1}{\check{\omega}(\xi)},$$

for all  $z, \xi \in \mathbb{D}$  with  $\beta(z, \xi) < r$ .

(C) *For  $r > 0$  fixed,*

$$\omega(D(z, r)) := \int_{D(z, r)} \omega dA \simeq \check{\omega}(z).$$

For  $1 < p < \infty$ , write  $b_{p,z} = B_z / \|B_z\|_{A_w^p}$ . Clearly,  $\|b_{p,z}\|_{A_w^p} = 1$ . By Lemma 2.1 we know

$$(2.1) \quad \inf_{\xi \in D(z, \alpha)} |b_{p,z}(\xi)| \simeq \sup_{\xi \in D(z, \alpha)} |b_{p,z}(\xi)| \simeq \check{\omega}(z)^{-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

For any  $r > 0$ , [8, Lemma 2.13] tells us there exists a sequence  $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  such that

$$\mathbb{D} = \cup_{j=1}^\infty D(z_j, r), \quad D\left(z_j, \frac{r}{4}\right) \cap D\left(z_k, \frac{r}{4}\right) = \emptyset \quad \text{for } j \neq k.$$

Such a sequence is called an  $r$ -lattice of  $\mathbb{D}$ . For  $E \subseteq \mathbb{D}$  Lebesgue measurable, let  $\chi_E$  be the characteristic function of  $E$ , and write  $|E| = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \chi_E dA$ . Given some  $r$ -lattice  $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  and  $R > 0$ , we have some constant  $N$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^\infty \chi_{D(z_j, R)} \leq N.$$

Given  $r > 0$ , the local mean operator  $M_r$  on  $L^1_{loc}$  is defined as

$$M_r(f)(z) = \frac{1}{|D(z, r)|} \int_{D(z, r)} f(\xi) dA(\xi).$$

For any  $r > 0$ ,  $M_r$  is a bounded linear operator on  $L^p_\omega$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

Let  $q \geq 1$  and  $r > 0$ . For  $f \in L^q_{loc}$ , define  $G_{q,r}(f)$  to be

$$G_{q,r}(f)(z) = \inf \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{|D(z, r)|} \int_{D(z, r)} |f - h|^q dA \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} : h \in H(D(z, r)) \right\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Since  $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$ ,

$$G_{q,r}(f)(z) \simeq \inf \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\omega(D(z, r))} \int_{D(z, r)} |f - h|^q \omega dA \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} : h \in H(D(z, r)) \right\}.$$

Let  $\mu$  be a finite positive Borel measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ ,  $\mu$  is called a  $q$ -Carleson measure for  $A^p_\omega$  if the identity operator  $Id : A^p_\omega \rightarrow L^q(\mu)$  is bounded,  $\mu$  is called a vanishing  $q$ -Carleson measure for  $A^p_\omega$  if the identity operator  $Id : A^p_\omega \rightarrow L^q(\mu)$  is compact.

The following two lemmas give the equivalent conditions of a positive Borel measure  $\mu$  belonging a (vanishing)  $q$ -Carleson measure for  $A^p_\omega$ . See [20] and [22] respectively.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose  $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ ,  $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$ , and let  $\mu$  be a positive Borel measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

(A)  $\mu$  is a  $q$ -Carleson measure for  $A^p_\omega$  if and only if

$$(2.3) \quad \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(D(z, r))}{\omega(D(z, r))^{\frac{q}{p}}} < \infty$$

for some (or any)  $r \in (0, \alpha]$ . Moreover,

$$\|Id\|_{A^p_\omega \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)}^q \simeq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(D(z, r))}{\omega(D(z, r))^{\frac{q}{p}}}.$$

(B)  $\mu$  is a vanishing  $q$ -Carleson measure for  $A^p_\omega$  if and only if

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(D(z, r))}{\omega(D(z, r))^{\frac{q}{p}}} = 0$$

for some (or any)  $r \in (0, \alpha]$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose  $1 < q < p < \infty$ ,  $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$ , and let  $\mu$  be a positive Borel measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(A)  $\mu$  is a  $q$ -Carleson measure for  $A^p_\omega$ ;

(B)  $\mu$  is a vanishing  $q$ -Carleson measure for  $A^p_\omega$ ;

(C) For some (or any)  $r \in (0, \alpha]$ ,  $\mu(D(\cdot, r))/\omega(D(\cdot, r)) \in L^{\frac{p}{p-q}}_\omega$ .

Furthermore,

$$\|Id\|_{A^p_\omega \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)} \simeq \|\mu(D(\cdot, r))/\omega(D(\cdot, r))\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-q}}_\omega}.$$

The following lemmas was proved in [10], which are important in the proof of the main results.

**Lemma 2.4.** Suppose  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$ . For  $f \in L^p((1 - |\cdot|)^p \omega dA)$ , let

$$u(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{z_j}(z) \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\psi_j(\xi)}{(\xi - z)B_{z_j}(\xi)} f(\xi) dA(\xi).$$

Then  $u$  weakly solves the equation  $\bar{\partial}u = f$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ , and there is some constant  $C$ , independent of  $f$ , such that

$$\|u\|_{L^p_\omega} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p_{(1-|\cdot|)^p \omega}}.$$

**Lemma 2.5.** Suppose  $\Omega \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $f \in L^1_\Omega$  and  $\bar{\partial}f \in L^p_{(1-|\cdot|)^p \Omega}$  for some  $p > 1$ . Then for  $g \in H^\infty$  there holds

$$H_f^\Omega(g) = u - P_\Omega(u),$$

where

$$u(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{z_j}(z) \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\psi_j(\xi)}{(\xi - z)B_{z_j}(\xi)} g(\xi) \bar{\partial}f(\xi) dA(\xi).$$

### 3. Proof of the main results

Now we are ready to prove Theorems 1.1-1.3.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* For  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $H^\infty$  is dense in  $A^p_\omega$ . So for  $f \in L^1_\Omega$ ,  $H_f^\Omega$  is well (densely) defined on  $A^p_\omega$ .

(A)  $\Rightarrow$  (B). Let  $r \in (0, \alpha]$  be fixed, (2.1) tells us

$$\inf_{\xi \in D(z, \alpha)} |b_{p,z}(\xi)| \gtrsim b_{p,z}(z) \simeq \check{\omega}(z)^{-\frac{1}{p}} > 0$$

for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Thus,  $\frac{1}{b_{p,z}} P_\Omega(f b_{p,z}) \in H(D(z, r))$ . This together with Lemma 2.1 shows

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_f^\Omega(b_{p,z})\|_{L^q_\Omega}^q &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\xi) b_{p,z}(\xi) - P_\Omega(f b_{p,z})(\xi)|^q \Omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \\ &\geq \int_{D(z, r)} |b_{p,z}(\xi)|^q \left| f(\xi) - \frac{1}{b_{p,z}(\xi)} P_\Omega(f b_{p,z})(\xi) \right|^q \Omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \\ (3.1) \quad &\gtrsim b_{p,z}(z)^q \int_{D(z, r)} \left| f(\xi) - \frac{1}{b_{p,z}(\xi)} P_\Omega(f b_{p,z})(\xi) \right|^q \Omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \\ &\gtrsim b_{p,z}(z)^q \Omega(D(z, r)) G_{q,r}(f)(z)^q \\ &\simeq \check{\omega}(z)^{-\frac{q}{p}} \check{\Omega}(z) G_{q,r}(f)(z)^q. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the boundedness of  $H_f^\Omega : A^p_\omega \rightarrow L^q_\Omega$  shows

$$\|H_f^\Omega(b_{p,z})\|_{L^q_\Omega}^q \leq \|H_f^\Omega\|_{A^p_\omega \rightarrow L^q_\Omega}^q \|b_{p,z}\|_{A^p_\omega}^q = \|H_f^\Omega\|_{A^p_\omega \rightarrow L^q_\Omega}^q.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \check{\omega}(z)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \check{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}} G_{q,r}(f)(z) \lesssim \|H_f^\Omega\|_{A^p_\omega \rightarrow L^q_\Omega}.$$

From this we know,  $\check{\Omega}^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p}} G_{q,r}(f) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ .

(B)  $\Rightarrow$  (C). Suppose  $\left\| \check{\Omega}^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p}} G_{q,r}(f) \right\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$  for some  $r \in (0, \alpha]$ . Fix  $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  to be an  $\frac{r}{2}$ -lattice, and take  $\{\psi_j\}$  to be a partition of unity subordinate to  $\{D(z_j, r/2)\}$ , satisfying  $|(1 - |z_j|)\bar{\partial}\psi_j| \leq C$ . A normal family argument shows that for each  $j$ , there exists some  $h_j \in H(D(z_j, r))$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\Omega(D(z_j, r))} \int_{D(z_j, r)} |f - h_j|^q \Omega dA = G_{q,r}(f)(z_j)^q.$$

Set

$$f_1(z) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty h_j(z)\psi_j(z) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{D})$$

and  $f_2 = f - f_1$ . For  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , set  $J_z = \{j : z \in D(z_j, r)\}$ . Then,  $1 - |z_j| \simeq 1 - |z|$  for  $j \in J_z$ . And

$$|J_z| := \sum_{j=1}^\infty \chi_{D(z_j, r)}(z) \leq C.$$

A similar way to that on pages 254-255 in [15], for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , there holds

$$(3.3) \quad |\bar{\partial}f_1(z)| \lesssim \frac{1}{1 - |z|} \sum_{j \in J_z} G_{q,r}(f)(z_j).$$

This shows

$$(3.4) \quad (1 - |z|)\check{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}}\check{\omega}(z)^{-\frac{1}{p}}|\bar{\partial}f_1(z)| \lesssim \left\| \check{\Omega}^{\frac{1}{q}}\check{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p}}G_{q,r}(f) \right\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Thus (1.1) follows.

For  $f_2$ , we have

$$(3.5) \quad \left( \frac{1}{|D(z, r)|} \int_{D(z, r)} |f_2|^q dA \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \left( \frac{1}{|D(z, r)|} \int_{D(z, r)} |(f - h_j)\psi_j|^q dA \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \left( \frac{1}{|D(z, r)|} \int_{D(z, r) \cap D(z_j, r/2)} |f - h_j|^q dA \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Hence,

$$(3.6) \quad \check{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}}\check{\omega}(z)^{-\frac{1}{p}}M_r(|f_2|^q)(z)^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim \left\| \check{\Omega}^{\frac{1}{q}}\check{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p}}G_{q,r}(f) \right\|_{L^\infty}.$$

This shows (1.2) holds. Notice that (1.2) is independent of precise values of  $r$ , and different values of  $r$  give equivalent norms  $\left\| \check{\Omega}^{\frac{1}{q}}\check{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p}}M_r(|f_2|^q) \right\|_{L^\infty}$ . We finish the proof of implication (B)  $\Rightarrow$  (C).

(C)  $\Rightarrow$  (A). Set  $d\mu = |f_2|^q \Omega dA$ . We show  $d\mu$  is a  $q$ -Carleson measure for  $A_\omega^p$ . Since  $\Omega(\xi) \simeq \Omega(z)$  for  $\xi \in D(z, r)$ , we have

$$\int_{D(z, r)} |f_2(\xi)|^q \Omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \simeq \Omega(z) \int_{D(z, r)} |f_2(\xi)|^q dA(\xi) \\ \simeq \frac{\Omega(D(z, r))}{|D(z, r)|} \int_{D(z, r)} |f_2(\xi)|^q dA(\xi).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu(D(z, r))}{\omega(D(z, r))^{\frac{q}{p}}} &= \frac{\int_{D(z, r)} |f_2(\xi)|^q \Omega(\xi) dA(\xi)}{\omega(D(z, r))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \\ &\simeq \frac{\Omega(D(z, r))}{\omega(D(z, r))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \cdot \frac{1}{|D(z, r)|} \int_{D(z, r)} |f_2(\xi)|^q dA(\xi) \\ &\simeq \check{\Omega}(z) \check{\omega}(z)^{-\frac{q}{p}} M_r(|f_2|^q)(z). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (1.2) that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(D(z, r))}{\omega(D(z, r))^{\frac{q}{p}}} < \infty.$$

Thus Lemma 2.2 shows  $d\mu$  is a  $q$ -Carleson measure for  $A_\omega^p$ . Moreover,

$$\|Id\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)} \simeq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(D(z, r))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\omega(D(z, r))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \simeq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \check{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}(z)^{-\frac{1}{p}} M_r(|f_2|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}(z).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.7) \quad \|H_{f_2}^\Omega g\|_{L_\Omega^q} &\lesssim \|f_2 g\|_{L_\Omega^q} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_2|^q |g|^q \Omega dA \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\lesssim \|Id\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)} \|g\|_{L_\omega^p} \simeq \left\| \check{\Omega}^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p}} M_r(|f_2|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{L_\omega^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we suppose  $f_1$  satisfies (1.1). For  $g \in H^\infty$ , pick  $u$  as in Lemma 2.5 that

$$u(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{z_j}(z) \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\psi_j(\xi)}{(\xi - z) B_{z_j}(\xi)} g(\xi) \bar{\partial} f_1(\xi) dA(\xi).$$

Then Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 give

$$H_{f_1}^\Omega(g) = u - P_\Omega(u) \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{L_\Omega^q} \lesssim \|(1 - |\cdot|)g\bar{\partial}f_1\|_{L_\Omega^q}.$$

By the boundedness of  $P_\Omega$  on  $L_\Omega^q$  we obtain

$$\|H_{f_1}^\Omega g\|_{L_\Omega^q} \leq (1 + \|P_\Omega\|_{L_\Omega^q}) \|u\|_{L_\Omega^q} \lesssim \|(1 - |\cdot|)g\bar{\partial}f_1\|_{L_\Omega^q}.$$

Meanwhile, we know from (1.1) and Lemma 2.2 that  $d\nu = [(1 - |\cdot|)|\bar{\partial}f_1]^q \Omega dA$  is a  $q$ -Carleson measure for  $A_\omega^p$ , and the Carleson constant of  $d\nu$  is less than or equal to  $C \left\| (1 - |\cdot|) \check{\Omega}^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p}} |\bar{\partial}f_1| \right\|_{L^\infty}$ .

Then

$$\|(1 - |\cdot|)g\bar{\partial}f_1\|_{L_\Omega^q} \lesssim \left\| (1 - |\cdot|) \check{\Omega}^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p}} |\bar{\partial}f_1| \right\|_{L^\infty} \cdot \|g\|_{L_\omega^p}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\|H_{f_1}^\Omega g\|_{L_\Omega^q} \lesssim \left\| (1 - |\cdot|) \check{\Omega}^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p}} |\bar{\partial}f_1| \right\|_{L^\infty} \cdot \|g\|_{L_\omega^p}.$$

With this and (3.7) we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad \|H_f^\Omega\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q} \lesssim \left\{ \left\| (1 - |\cdot|) \check{\Omega}^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p}} |\bar{\partial}f_1| \right\|_{L^\infty} + \left\| \check{\Omega}^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p}} M_r(|f_2|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^\infty} \right\}.$$

This finishes the proof of the equivalence among (A), (B) and (C). Moreover, the desired norm estimate (1.3) come from (3.2), (3.4), (3.6) and (3.8).  $\square$



*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Suppose  $H_f^\Omega : A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q$  is compact. Since  $b_{p,z}$  tends to 0 weakly in  $A_\omega^p$ , for  $0 < r \leq \alpha$ , from (3.1) we have

$$\check{\omega}(z)^{-\frac{q}{p}} \check{\Omega}(z) G_{q,r}(f)(z)^q \lesssim \|H_f^\Omega(b_{p,z})\|_{L_\Omega^q} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $|z| \rightarrow 1$ . So, (A) implies (B).

Suppose (B) is valid. From (3.3) and (3.6) we have

$$\check{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}(z)^{-\frac{1}{p}} (1 - |z|) |\bar{\partial} f_1(z)| \lesssim \sum_{j \in J_z} \check{\Omega}(z_j)^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}(z_j)^{-\frac{1}{p}} G_{q,r}(f)(z_j)$$

and

$$\check{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}(z)^{-\frac{1}{p}} M_r(|f_2|^q)(z)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \sum_{j \in J_z} \check{\Omega}(z_j)^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}(z_j)^{-\frac{1}{p}} G_{q,r}(f)(z_j).$$

From these the statement (C) follows.

Now we prove the implication (C)  $\Rightarrow$  (A). As that in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we know  $d\mu = |f_2|^q \Omega dA$  and  $d\nu = [(1 - |\cdot|) |\bar{\partial} f_1]|^q \Omega dA$  are both vanishing  $q$ -Carleson measures for  $A_\omega^p$ . For any bounded sequence  $\{g_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  in  $A_\omega^p$  with  $g_m \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on any compact subset of  $\mathbb{D}$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\|H_{f_1}^\Omega(g_m)\|_{L_\Omega^q} \lesssim \|[ (1 - |\cdot|) |\bar{\partial} f_1] g_m \|_{L_\Omega^q} \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$\|H_{f_2}^\Omega(g_m)\|_{L_\Omega^q} \lesssim \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_2|^q |g_m|^q \Omega dA \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|H_f^\Omega(g_m)\|_{L_\Omega^q} = 0.$$

This shows  $H_f^\Omega$  is compact from  $A_\omega^p$  to  $L_\Omega^q$ . The proof is ended. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* (B)  $\Rightarrow$  (A) is trivial. We need only to prove the directions (A)  $\Rightarrow$  (C), (C)  $\Rightarrow$  (D) and (D)  $\Rightarrow$  (B).

(A)  $\Rightarrow$  (C). For  $r \in (0, \alpha]$  fixed, take  $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  to be an  $r/4$ -lattice. It follows from the decomposition for  $A_\omega^p$  (see [22, Proposition 14]) that for  $\{\lambda_j\} \in l^p$ , there holds

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j b_{p,z_j} \right\|_{A_\omega^p} \leq C \|\{\lambda_j\}\|_{l^p}.$$

As in [11], take  $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  to be the sequence of Rademacher functions on  $[0, 1]$ . Khintchine's inequality implies

$$\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j \phi_j(t) b_{p,z_j}(z) \right|^q dt \simeq \left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\lambda_j|^2 |b_{p,z_j}(z)|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}}.$$

This together with Fubini's theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 \left\| H_f^\Omega \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \phi_j(t) b_{p,z_j} \right) \right\|_{L_\Omega^q}^q dt \\
& \simeq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{D(z_k, r)} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^2 |H_f^\Omega(b_{p,z_j})(z)|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \Omega(z) dA(z) \\
& \gtrsim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^q b_{p,z_k}(z)^q \int_{D(z_k, r)} \left| f(z) - \frac{P_\Omega(f b_{p,z_k})}{b_{p,z_k}}(z) \right|^q \Omega(z) dA(z) \\
& \gtrsim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^q b_{p,z_k}(z)^q \Omega(D(z_k, r)) G_{q,r}(f)(z_k)^q \\
& \simeq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^q \check{\omega}(z_k)^{-\frac{q}{p}} \check{\Omega}(z_k) G_{q,r}(f)(z_k)^q.
\end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, the boundedness of  $H_f^\Omega : A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q$  indicates

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| H_f^\Omega \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \phi_j(t) b_{p,z_j} \right) \right\|_{L_\Omega^q} & \leq \|H_f^\Omega\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q} \cdot \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \phi_j(t) b_{p,z_j} \right\|_{A_\omega^p} \\
& \lesssim \|H_f^\Omega\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q} \|\{\lambda_j\}\|_{l^{\frac{p}{q}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^q \check{\omega}(z_k)^{-\frac{q}{p}} \check{\Omega}(z_k) G_{q,r}(f)(z_k)^q \lesssim \|H_f^\Omega\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q}^q \|\{\lambda_k\}\|_{l^{\frac{p}{q}}}.$$

Since the conjugate of  $\frac{p}{q}$  is  $\frac{p}{p-q}$ , a duality argument shows

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \check{\omega}(z_k)^{-\frac{q}{p-q}} \check{\Omega}(z_k)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} G_{q,r}(f)(z_k)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} \lesssim \|H_f^\Omega\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q}^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}.$$

Notice that  $\check{\omega}(z) = (1 - |z|)^2 \omega(z)$ . For  $z$  and  $w$  with  $\beta(z, \xi) < \frac{r}{2}$ , one has  $\check{\omega}(z) \simeq \check{\omega}(\xi)$  and

$$(3.9) \quad G_{q, \frac{r}{2}}(f)(\xi) \leq C G_{q,r}(f)(z).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{D}} \Omega(\xi)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \omega(\xi)^{-\frac{q}{p-q}} G_{q, \frac{r}{2}}(f)(\xi)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} dA(\xi) \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{D(z_k, \frac{r}{2})} \frac{1}{(1 - |\xi|)^2} \check{\omega}(\xi)^{-\frac{q}{p-q}} \check{\Omega}(\xi)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} \check{\Omega}(\xi) G_{q,r}(f)(\xi)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} dA(\xi) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G_{q,r}(f)(z_k)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} \check{\omega}(z_k)^{-\frac{q}{p-q}} \check{\Omega}(z_k)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} \int_{D(z_k, \frac{r}{2})} \Omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \\
& \simeq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G_{q,r}(f)(z_k)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} \check{\omega}(z_k)^{-\frac{q}{p-q}} \check{\Omega}(z_k)^{\frac{p}{p-q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows  $\Omega^{\frac{1}{q}}\omega^{-\frac{1}{p}}G_{q,r}(f) \in L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}(\mathbb{D})$  with

$$(3.10) \quad \left\| \Omega^{\frac{1}{q}}\omega^{-\frac{1}{p}}G_{q,r}(f) \right\|_{L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}} \lesssim \|H_f^\Omega\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q}.$$

(C)  $\Rightarrow$  (D). As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, set

$$f_1(z) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty h_j(z)\phi_j(z) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \text{ and } f_2 = f - f_1.$$

By (3.9) we know

$$G_{q,\frac{r}{2}}(f)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}(z_j) \lesssim \frac{1}{|D(z_j, r/2)|} \int_{D(z_j, r/2)} G_{q,r}(f)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}(u) dA(u).$$

It follows from (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} [(1 - |z|) |\bar{\partial}f_1(z)|]^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} &\lesssim \sum_{j \in J_z} G_{q,\frac{r}{2}}(f)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}(z_j) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\Omega(D(z, r))} \sum_{j \in J_z} \int_{D(z_j, r)} G_{q,r}(f)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}(u) \Omega(u) dA(u) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\Omega(D(z, r))} \int_{D(z, 2r)} G_{q,r}(f)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}(u) \Omega(u) dA(u). \end{aligned}$$

This and Fubini's theorem imply

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{D}} [(1 - |z|) |\bar{\partial}f_1(z)|]^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} \Omega(z)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \omega(z)^{-\frac{q}{p-q}} dA(z) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{\Omega(D(z, r))} \Omega(z)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \omega(z)^{-\frac{q}{p-q}} dA(z) \int_{\mathbb{D}} \chi_{D(z, 2r)}(u) G_{q,r}(f)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}(u) \Omega(u) dA(u) \\ &\simeq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \Omega(u)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \omega(u)^{-\frac{q}{p-q}} G_{q,r}(f)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}(u) \left[ \frac{1}{\Omega(D(u, r))} \int_{D(u, 2r)} \Omega(z) dA(z) \right] dA(u) \\ &\simeq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \Omega(u)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \omega(u)^{-\frac{q}{p-q}} G_{q,r}(f)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} dA(u). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get (1.4) with

$$(3.11) \quad \left\| \Omega^{\frac{1}{q}}\omega^{-\frac{1}{p}}(1 - |\cdot|) |\bar{\partial}f_1| \right\|_{L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}} \lesssim \left\| \Omega^{\frac{1}{q}}\omega^{-\frac{1}{p}}G_{q,r}(f) \right\|_{L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}}.$$

For  $f_2$ , by (3.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_r(|f_2|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}(z) &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^\infty \left( \frac{1}{\Omega(D(z, r))} \int_{D(z,r) \cap D(z_j, r/2)} |f - h_j|^q \Omega dA \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\Omega(D(z, r))} \int_{D(z, 2r)} G_{q,r}(f)(u) \Omega(u) dA(u) \\ &\lesssim \left( \frac{1}{\Omega(D(z, r))} \int_{D(z, 2r)} G_{q,r}(f)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}(u) \Omega(u) dA(u) \right)^{\frac{p-q}{pq}}. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.9) shows

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Omega^{\frac{1}{q}} \omega^{-\frac{1}{p}} M_r(|f_2|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}} \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \Omega(z)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \omega(z)^{-\frac{q}{p-q}} \left( \frac{1}{\Omega(D(z, r))} \int_{D(z, 2r)} G_{q,r}(f)(u)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} \Omega(u) dA(u) \right) dA(z) \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \Omega(z)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \omega(z)^{-\frac{q}{p-q}} G_{q,2r}(f)(z)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} dA(z). \end{aligned}$$

This shows

$$(3.12) \quad \left\| \Omega^{\frac{1}{q}} \omega^{-\frac{1}{p}} M_r(|f_2|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}} \lesssim \left\| \Omega^{\frac{1}{q}} \omega^{-\frac{1}{p}} G_{q,2r}(f) \right\|_{L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}}.$$

It is trivial that the condition (1.5) is independent of  $r$ , these give the statement (D).

Now we prove (D)  $\Rightarrow$  (B). Set  $d\nu = [(1 - |\cdot|)|\bar{\partial}f_1]^q \Omega dA$ . It follows from Hölder’s inequality with  $\frac{p}{p-q}$  and its conjugate to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D(\xi, r)} [(1 - |\zeta|)|\bar{\partial}f_1(\zeta)]^q \Omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \\ & \lesssim \left\{ \int_{D(\xi, r)} [(1 - |\zeta|)^q |\bar{\partial}f_1(\zeta)|^q \Omega(\zeta)^{\frac{p-q}{p}}]^{\frac{p}{p-q}} dA(\zeta) \right\}^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \cdot \left( \int_{D(\xi, r)} \Omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\ & \simeq \check{\Omega}(\xi)^{\frac{q}{p}} \left[ \int_{D(\xi, r)} (1 - |\zeta|)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} |\bar{\partial}f_1(\zeta)|^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} \Omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \right]^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

This, together with Fubini’s theorem, gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{\nu(D(\cdot, r))}{\omega(D(\cdot, r))} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-q}}} \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left[ \frac{\int_{D(\xi, r)} (1 - |\zeta|)^q |\bar{\partial}f_1(\zeta)|^q \Omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta)}{\omega(D(\xi, r))} \right]^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \int_{D(\xi, r)} (1 - |\zeta|)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} |\bar{\partial}f_1(\zeta)|^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} \Omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \right) \frac{\check{\Omega}(\xi)^{\frac{q}{p-q}}}{\check{\omega}(\xi)^{\frac{p}{p-q}}} \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \int_{D(\zeta, r)} \frac{\check{\Omega}(\xi)^{\frac{q}{p-q}}}{\check{\omega}(\xi)^{\frac{p}{p-q}}} \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \right) (1 - |\zeta|)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} |\bar{\partial}f_1(\zeta)|^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} \Omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\check{\Omega}(\xi) \simeq \check{\Omega}(\zeta)$  for  $\xi \in D(\zeta, r)$ , we have

$$\int_{D(\zeta, r)} \frac{\check{\Omega}(\xi)^{\frac{q}{p-q}}}{\check{\omega}(\xi)^{\frac{p}{p-q}}} \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \simeq \frac{\check{\Omega}(\zeta)^{\frac{q}{p-q}}}{\check{\omega}(\zeta)^{\frac{p}{p-q}}} \int_{D(\zeta, r)} \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \simeq \frac{\Omega(\zeta)^{\frac{q}{p-q}}}{\omega(\zeta)^{\frac{q}{p-q}}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left\| \frac{\nu(D(\cdot, r))}{\omega(D(\cdot, r))} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-q}}} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left[ \Omega(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{q}} \omega(\zeta)^{-\frac{1}{p}} (1 - |\zeta|) |\bar{\partial}f_1(\zeta)| \right]^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} dA(\zeta).$$

By (1.4) we know  $d\nu$  is a  $q$ -Carleson measure for  $A_\omega^p$ . Lemma 2.3 tells us  $Id : A_\omega^p \hookrightarrow L^q(d\nu)$  is compact and

$$\|Id\|_{A_\omega^p \hookrightarrow L^q(d\nu)}^q \lesssim \left\| \frac{\nu(D(\cdot, r))}{\omega(D(\cdot, r))} \right\|_{L_\omega^{\frac{p}{p-q}}} \lesssim \left\| \Omega^{\frac{1}{q}} \omega^{-\frac{1}{p}} (1 - |\cdot|) |\bar{\partial}f_1| \right\|_{L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}}^q.$$

Now for  $g \in H^\infty$ , which is dense in  $A_\omega^p$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_{f_1}^\Omega g\|_{L_\Omega^q} &\lesssim \|(1 - |\cdot|)g\bar{\partial}f_1\|_{L_\Omega^q} \lesssim \|Id\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L^q(d\nu)} \|g\|_{L_\omega^p} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \Omega^{\frac{1}{q}} \omega^{-\frac{1}{p}} (1 - |\cdot|) |\bar{\partial}f_1| \right\|_{L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}} \|g\|_{L_\omega^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $H_{f_1}^\Omega : A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q$  is bounded with the norm estimate

$$(3.13) \quad \|H_{f_1}^\Omega\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q} \lesssim \left\| \Omega^{\frac{1}{q}} \omega^{-\frac{1}{p}} (1 - |\cdot|) |\bar{\partial}f_1| \right\|_{L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}}.$$

We claim  $H_{f_1}^\Omega$  is compact as well. To see this, let  $\{g_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  be any bounded sequence in  $A_\omega^p$  with  $g_m \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on any compact subset of  $\mathbb{D}$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we need only to prove  $H_{f_1}^\Omega(g_m) \rightarrow 0$  in  $L_\Omega^q$ . For this purpose, for each  $m$ , pick some  $t_m \in (1 - 1/m, 1)$  so that  $\|g_m(\cdot) - g_m(t_m \cdot)\|_{A_\omega^p} < \frac{1}{m}$ . Set  $h_m(\cdot) = g_m(t_m \cdot) \in H^\infty$ . Since  $\omega$  is radial,  $\|h_m\|_{A_\omega^p} \leq \|g_m\|_{A_\omega^p}$ . As in Lemma 2.5, set

$$u_m(z) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty B_{z_j}(z) \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\phi_j(\xi)}{(\xi - z)B_{z_j}(\xi)} h_m(\xi) \bar{\partial}f_1(\xi) dA(\xi).$$

Then,  $\bar{\partial}u_m = h_m \bar{\partial}f_1$  and

$$\|u_m\|_{L_\Omega^q} \lesssim \|(h_m \bar{\partial}f_1)\|_{L_{(1-|\cdot|)^q \Omega}^q} \simeq \|h_m\|_{L^q(d\nu)}^q.$$

It follows from the compactness of  $Id : A_\omega^p \hookrightarrow L^q(d\nu)$  that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|h_m\|_{L^q(d\nu)}^q = 0$ . Then  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|u_m\|_{L_\Omega^q} = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|H_{f_1}^\Omega(h_m)\|_{L_\Omega^q} \leq (1 + \|P_\Omega\|_{L_\Omega^q}) \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|u_m\|_{L_\Omega^q} = 0.$$

Meanwhile, we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|H_{f_1}^\Omega(g_m - h_m)\|_{L_\Omega^q} \leq \|H_{f_1}^\Omega\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|g_m - h_m\|_{A_\omega^p} = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|H_{f_1}^\Omega(g_m)\|_{L_\Omega^q} \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \|H_{f_1}^\Omega(g_m - h_m)\|_{L_\Omega^q} + \|H_{f_1}^\Omega(h_m)\|_{L_\Omega^q} \right\} = 0,$$

which gives the compactness of  $H_{f_1}^\Omega$  from  $A_\omega^p$  to  $L_\Omega^q$ .

For  $f_2$  satisfying (1.5),  $d\mu = |f_2|^q \Omega dA$  is a vanishing  $q$ -Carleson measure for  $A_\omega^p$ . Equivalently,  $Id : A_\omega^p \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)$  is compact. By

$$(3.14) \quad \|H_{f_2}^\Omega(g)\|_{L_\Omega^q} \lesssim \|f_2 g\|_{L_\Omega^q} = \|Id(g)\|_{L_{|f_2|^q \Omega}^q}$$

we know  $H_{f_2}^\Omega$  is compact from  $A_\omega^p$  to  $L_\Omega^q$  as well. This finishes the proof of implication  $(D) \Rightarrow (B)$ .

Furthermore, from (3.13), (3.14) and (3.11), (3.12) we have

$$\|H_f^\Omega\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q} \lesssim \inf \left\{ \|H_{f_1}^\Omega\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q} + \|H_{f-2}^\Omega\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q} \right\} \lesssim \left\| \Omega^{\frac{1}{q}} \omega^{-\frac{1}{p}} G_{q,r}(f) \right\|_{L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}},$$

where the "inf" is taken over all decomposition  $f = f_1 + f_2$  as (1.4). This and (3.10) imply (1.6). The proof is finished.

Recall that for  $f \in L_{loc}^p$  and  $r > 0$ ,

$$MO_{p,r}(f)(z) = \left\{ \frac{1}{|D(z,r)|} \int_{D(z,r)} |f - f_{D(z,r)}|^q dA \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where  $f_{D(z,r)} = \frac{1}{|D(z,r)|} \int_{D(z,r)} f dA$ . And

$$Oss_r(f)(z) = \sup_{\xi \in D(z,r)} |f(\xi) - f(z)|.$$

□

As an application of the main results, we can characterize real-valued functions  $f \in L_{\Omega}^1$  such that  $H_f^{\Omega}$  is bounded (or compact) from  $A_{\omega}^p$  to  $L_{\Omega}^q$  with  $1 < p, q < \infty$ . This is equivalent to show complex-valued functions  $f \in L_{\Omega}^1$  such that both  $H_f^{\Omega}, H_{\bar{f}}^{\Omega}$  are bounded (or compact) between the above spaces. The proofs of the following corollaries are similar to that of Theorems 4.5-4.7 in [10], so we omit the details here.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Suppose  $\omega, \Omega \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ . Then for  $f \in L_{\Omega}^1$ ,*

(1) *Both  $H_f^{\Omega}, H_{\bar{f}}^{\Omega} : A_{\omega}^p \rightarrow L_{\Omega}^q$  are bounded if and only if for some (or any)  $r > 0$ ,  $\check{\Omega}^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p}} MO_{q,r}(f) \in L^{\infty}$ , as well as equivalent to  $f = f_1 + f_2$  with  $f_1 \in C^1(\mathbb{D})$ , and for some (or any)  $r > 0$ ,*

$$\check{\Omega}^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p}} \cdot Oss_r(f_1) \in L^{\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \check{\Omega}^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p}} M_r(|f_2|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \in L^{\infty}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\|H_f^{\Omega}\|_{A_{\omega}^p \rightarrow L_{\Omega}^q} + \|H_{\bar{f}}^{\Omega}\|_{A_{\omega}^p \rightarrow L_{\Omega}^q} \simeq \left\| \check{\Omega}^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p}} MO_{q,r}(f) \right\|_{L^{\infty}}.$$

(2)  *$H_f^{\Omega}, H_{\bar{f}}^{\Omega} : A_{\omega}^p \rightarrow L_{\Omega}^q$  are simultaneously compact if and only if for some (or any)  $r > 0$ ,  $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \check{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}(z)^{-\frac{1}{p}} MO_{q,r}(f)(z) = 0$ , which is also equivalent to  $f = f_1 + f_2$  with  $f_1 \in C^1(\mathbb{D})$  satisfying*

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \check{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}(z)^{-\frac{1}{p}} Oss_r(f_1)(z) = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \check{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}(z)^{-\frac{1}{p}} M_r(|f_2|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}(z) = 0$$

for some (or any)  $r > 0$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** *Suppose  $\omega, \Omega \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $1 < q < p < \infty$ . Then for  $f \in L_{\Omega}^1$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (A)  $H_f^{\Omega}, H_{\bar{f}}^{\Omega} : A_{\omega}^p \rightarrow L_{\Omega}^q$  are bounded;
- (B)  $H_f^{\Omega}, H_{\bar{f}}^{\Omega} : A_{\omega}^p \rightarrow L_{\Omega}^q$  are compact;
- (C) For some (or any)  $r > 0$ ,  $\Omega^{\frac{1}{q}} \omega^{-\frac{1}{p}} MO_{q,r}(f) \in L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}$ ;

(D)  $f = f_1 + f_2$  with  $f_1 \in C^1(\mathbb{D})$ , for some (or any)  $r > 0$  there hold

$$\Omega^{\frac{1}{q}} \omega^{-\frac{1}{p}} Oss_r(f_1) \in L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}},$$

and

$$\Omega^{\frac{1}{q}} \omega^{-\frac{1}{p}} M_r(|f_2|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \in L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\|H_f^\Omega\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q} + \|H_f^\Omega\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\Omega^q} \simeq \left\| \check{\Omega}^{\frac{1}{q}} \check{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p}} MO_{q,r}(f) \right\|_{L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}}.$$

**Remark 3.3.** Given  $1 < q < p < \infty$  and  $\alpha, \beta > -1$ , Lv and Zhu in [14] obtained the equivalent conditions for  $H_f^\beta$  and  $H_f^\beta$  are both bounded (or compact) from  $A_{(1-|\cdot|^2)^\alpha}^p$  to  $L_{(1-|\cdot|^2)^\beta}^q$  under the restriction “ $pn < q(n + 1 + \alpha)$ ”. They were not sure if this restriction could remove. From Corollary 3.2 we know, the condition is not necessary at least in the case  $n = 1$ .

### Acknowledgments

The first author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (12001258) and Lingnan Normal University (ZL1925). The authors would like to thank the referees and the editor for their valuable suggestions.

### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aleman and A. Siskakis, Integration operators on Bergman spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **46** (1997), no. 2, 337–356.
- [2] J. Arazy, S. Fisher and J. Peetre, Hankel operators on weighted Bergman spaces, *Amer. J. Math.* **110** (1988), no. 6, 989–1053.
- [3] J. Arazy, S. Fisher, S. Janson and J. Peetre, Membership of Hankel operators on the ball in unitary ideals, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **43** (1991), no. 3, 485–508.
- [4] S. Axler, The Bergman space, the Bloch space and commutators of multiplication operators, *Duke Math. J.* **53** (1986), no. 2, 315–332.
- [5] D. Békollé, C. A. Berger, L. A. Coburn and K. H. Zhu, BMO in the Bergman metric on bounded symmetric domains, *J. Funct. Anal.* **93** (1990), no. 2, 310–350.
- [6] C. A. Berger, L. A. Coburn and K. H. Zhu, BMO on the Bergman spaces of the classical domains, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **17** (1987), no. 1, 133–136.
- [7] C. A. Berger, L. A. Coburn and K. H. Zhu, Function theory on Cartan domains and the Berezin-Toeplitz symbol calculus, *Amer. J. Math.* **110** (1988), no. 5, 921–953.
- [8] H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum and K. H. Zhu, *Theory of Bergman Spaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 199. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [9] Z. J. Hu, Extended Cesàro operators on mixed norm spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131** (2003), no. 7, 2171–2179.
- [10] Z. J. Hu and J. Lu, Hankel operators on Bergman spaces with regular weights, *J. Geom. Anal.* **29** (2019), no. 4, 3494–3519.
- [11] Z. J. Hu and X. F. Lv, Toeplitz operators from one Fock space to another, *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* **70** (2011), no. 4, 541–559.
- [12] H. Li, BMO, VMO and Hankel operators on the Bergman space of strongly pseudoconvex domains, *J. Funct. Anal.* **106** (1992), no. 2, 375–408.

- [13] H. Li, Hankel operators on the Bergman spaces of strongly pseudoconvex domains, *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* **19** (1994), no. 4, 458–476.
- [14] X. F. Lv and K. H. Zhu, Integrability of Mean Oscillation with Applications to Hankel Operators, *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory*, **91** (2019), no. 1, 23 pp.
- [15] D. H. Luecking, Characterizations of certain classes of Hankel operators on the Bergman spaces of the unit disk, *J. Funct. Anal.* **110** (1992), no. 2, 247–271.
- [16] J. Pau, Hankel operators on standard Bergman spaces, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **7** (2013), no. 4, 1239–1256.
- [17] J. Pau, R. H. Zhao and K. H. Zhu, Weighted BMO and Hankel operators between Bergman spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **65** (2016), no. 5, 1639–1673.
- [18] M. Pavlović and J. A. Peláez, An equivalence for weighted integrals of an analytic function and its derivative, *Math. Nachr.* **281** (2008), no. 11, 1612–1623.
- [19] J. A. Peláez, A. Perälä and J. Rättyä, Hankel operators induced by radial Bekollé-Bonami weights on Bergman spaces, *Math. Z.* **296** (2020), no. 1-2, 211–238.
- [20] J. A. Peláez and J. Rättyä, Weighted Bergman spaces induced by rapidly increasing weights, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **227** (2013), no. 1066, 124 pp.
- [21] J. A. Peláez and J. Rättyä, Generalized Hilbert operators on weighted Bergman spaces, *Adv. Math.* **240** (2013) 227–267.
- [22] J. A. Peláez, J. Rättyä and A. K. Sierra, Berezin transform and toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces induced by regular weights, *J. Geom. Anal.* **28** (2018), no. 1, 656–687.
- [23] K. H. Zhu, VMO, ESV, and Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **302** (1987), no. 2, 617–646.

**Ermin Wang**

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Lingnan Normal University, P.O. Box 524048, Zhanjiang, China.

Email: wem0913@sina.com

**Jiajia Xu**

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Lingnan Normal University, P.O. Box 524048, Zhanjiang, China.

Email: xjj0719@sina.com